

TOR Reference No.:	Author(s): A.Dulière (Brussels Environment,
	Belgium)
Version: final	Date: 10.11.2015
TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR WORK UNDER THE AUSPICES OF IMPEL	

1. Work type and title

1.1 Identify which Expert Team this needs to go to for initial consideration		
Industry Waste and TFS Water and land Nature protection Cross-cutting – tools and approaches - 1.2 Type of work you need funding for		
Exchange visits Peer reviews (e.g. IRI) Conference Development of tools/guidance Comparison studies Assessing legislation (checklist) Other (please describe):		
1.3 Full name of work (enough to fully descril	oe what the work area is)	
The IED Baseline Report 2 – IMPEL project on the implementation by Member States of the Baseline Report as required by the Industrial Emissions Directive		
1.4 Abbreviated name of work or project		
The IED Baseline Report 2		

2. Outline business case (why this piece of work?)

2.1 Name the legislative driver(s) where they exist (name the Directive, Regulation, etc.)

Improving implementation of environmental law is a key priority for the European Commission. This has been once more highlighted by the 7th Environment Action Program, into force since January 2014.



The Baseline Report is required by Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions.

The minimum expectations concerning the IED Baseline report were detailed in a communication of the Commission: "European Commission Guidance concerning baseline reports under Article 22(2) of Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions" (2014/C 136/03). This communication has been published on 6/5/2014.

2.2 Link to IMPEL MASP priority work areas

- 1. Assist members to implement new legislation
- 2. Build capacity in member organisations through the IMPEL Review Initiatives
- 3. Work on 'problem areas' of implementation identified by IMPEL and the European Commission

	V

Ŀ

2.3 Why is this work needed? (background, motivations, aims, etc.)

The Baseline Report has been introduced in European law since only a few years. Nonetheless, some Member States have already acquired a considerable experience regarding soil investigations, with well established procedures based in some cases on decades of practical experience.

The aim of the IED Baseline Report is primarily the assessement of the soil quality at the start of the renewal of the permit of an industrial activity as to establish an initial state. The objective is to provide a basis for comparison upon definitive closure of the activity, as to make possible the application of the "polluter pays principle" on an objective basis.

On the other hand, the management of contaminated sites has been since a long time a strategic issue in the whole Europe because the presence of a soil pollution compromises the possibility of a good and efficient land planning. In particular in the context of industrial activities, it has been frequently observed that the lack of legal framework regarding the assessement and the management of soil pollution is often a deterrent for investors to choose to settle a new industrial activity in a polluted area. In the absence of clearly established rules and procedures, the legal insecurity creates too many financial risks for projects, pushing investors to choose others areas, sometimes to the detriment of other land use such as agriculture, natural aeras or housing, and ultimately leaving brownfields to the care, and charge, of public authorities.

We are therefore convinced that more detailed procedures for the establishments of Baseline Reports could be an asset not only for the application of the polluter pays principle when needed, but also in the redesignation of contaminated areas for industrial use.

Better implementation of the IED Baseline Report means also more efficient procedures, leading to better knowledge of the state of the soil for a lesser investment in terms of duration and cost of investigations. This can be achieved through a more narrowed targeting of field works.

Giving the varying level of regulatory and practical experience in this matter among Members States, IMPEL could use the existing experience in its network to gather the best practices in place and identify key factors of representativity in soil investigations as to help promote a both efficient and pragmatic approach to the development of Baseline Reports.

It could also identify key challenges in implementation and practical enforcement, as well as



solutions to these that have already been put in place by the practitioners.

The findings will be shared among members as to help improve practices in the whole Europe, aiming at the creation of a level playing field on this particular matter.

2.4 Desired outcome of the work (what do you want to achieve? What will be better / done differently as a result of this project?)

Based on the main specific challenges identified thanks to the previous project (2015), we intend to produce detailed tools and proposals of practical solutions to those challenges. This work could be integrated in the general guidance book on implementation of the IED that the Industry expert team intends to produce.

Members could benefit from the knowledge and experience already in place and take whatever they find applicable to their needs.

2.5 Does this project link to any previous or current IMPEL projects? (state which projects and how they are related)

- This project is the follow up of the "IED Baseline Report" project begun in 2015.
- It has also a clear link to the DECO Project IMPEL Project on decontamination and monitoring procedures of groundwater and soils in polluted ex-industrial sites.

The DECO project dealt with decontamination and monitoring procedures on ex-industrial sites, whereas this new project concerns investigation procedures on active industrial sites, or on brownfields in the perspective of their reallocation to industrial activity.

The project is also linked to a project from the cluster Industry (IED guidance report)

3. Structure of the proposed activity

3.1 Describe the activities of the proposal (what are you going to do and how?)

- 1. First team meeting: kick off meeting and preparation of the workshop. Based on the answers to questionnaire sent in 2015, we have identified the main specific challenges faced by Members states when implementing the IED baseline report, key experts in MS and best practices already applied by MS to answer the existing challenges.
- Workshop: the workshop will bring together the members of the expert team and additional key experts identified through to the answers to the questionnaire. The aim is to share practical solutions successfully applied in MS and detail the best practices identified through the questionnaire.
- 3. Second team meeting: analysis of the results of the workshop. We will identify what can be used to produce a practical guidance on implementation of the IED baseline report and start the drafting of the report.
- 4. Production of a draft report to be integrated to the IED guidance report from cluster Industry.
- 5. Final team meeting: review and approval of the final report.
- 6. IMPEL approval Report to be circulated to IMPEL Members by written procedure to ensure appropriate engagement with IMPEL Members and their organisations. The report will be submitted for approval during IMPEL general assembly in December 2016.



_

3.2 Describe the products of the proposal (what are you going to produce in terms of output / outcome?)

A report gathering:

- The information exchanged among IMPEL Member States on the implementation of the Baseline Report, considering administrative and technical procedures and financial aspects, on the basis of exchange of knowledge and information of participating IMPEL members.
- Good practices examples, aiming at efficiency and representativeness of the investigations procedures leading to the establishment of the Baseline Report.
- Key challenges identified during the study and when applicable the already existing responses to them.

3.3 Describe the milestones of this proposal (how will you know if you are on track to complete the work on time?)

- 1. Planning activities January 2016
- 2. Defining the work July
- 3. **Project team meeting 1** February 2016: Workshop preparation
- 4. Workshop March/April 2016
- 5. **Project team meeting 2** march/april 2016: analysis of the results of the workshop, start of the draft
- 6. Production of a draft report: April-August 2016
- 7. Project team meeting 3: review and production of the final report October 2016
- 8. Final Report completed end –November 2016
- 9. Final Report submitted for approval to IMPEL General assembly- December 2016

3.4 Risks (what are the potential risks for this project and what actions will be put in place to mitigate these?)

- 1. Availability of experts for the workshop
- Reluctance of national experts to uncover existing difficulties/implementation issues in their national administration while detailing the current practices. Clear assurances will be given that if such implementation issues are reported, they will never be linked to individual authorities or Member States.

4. Organisation of the work

4.1 Lead (who will lead the work: name, organisation and country) – this must be confirmed prior to submission of the TOR to the General Assembly)



Brussels Environment-IBGE (BE, Brussels-Capital Region, Belgium)

4.2 Project team (who will take part: name, organisation and country)

- 1. Aurélie Dulière (BE, Belgium)
- 2. Jean-Pierre Janssens (BE, Belgium)
- 3. Nadia Tomasini (ARPA Lombardia, Italy)
- 4. TBD (Germany)
- 5. TBD (Cyprus)

	4.3 Other IMPEL participants	(name, organisation and country	/)
--	------------------------------	---------------------------------	----

/

4.4. Other non-IMPEL participants (name, organisation and country)

/

5. High level budget projection of the proposal. In case this is a multi-year project, identify future requirements as much as possible

	Year 1 (exact)	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
How much money do you require from IMPEL?	15000			
How much money is to be co-	/			
financed				
Total budget	15000			

6. Detailed event costs of the work for year 1

	Travel € (max €360 per return journey)	Hotel € (max €90 per night)	Catering € (max €25 per day)	Total costs €
Event 1				
project team meeting 1				
<data event="" of=""> TBD</data>	1800	900	250	2950
<location> TBD</location>	1800	900	250	2950
<no. of="" participants=""> 5</no.>				
<no. days="" nights="" of=""> 2/2</no.>				
Event 2	3600	1800	750	6150
workshop	3600	1800	750	6150



				the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law
<data event="" of=""> TBD</data>				
<location> TBD</location>				
<no. of="" participants=""> 12</no.>				
Alo of days / nights 2/2				
<no. days="" nights="" of=""> 2/3</no.>				
Event 3				
project team meeting 2				
(following workshop)				
<data event="" of=""> TBD</data>	1800	900	250	2950
<location> TBD</location>				
<no. of="" participants=""> 5</no.>				
<no. days="" nights="" of=""> 2/2</no.>				
Event 4				
project team meeting 3		900	250	2950
<data event="" of=""> TBD</data>	1900			
<location> TBD</location>	1800			
<no. of="" participants=""> 5</no.>				
<no. days="" nights="" of=""> 2/2</no.>				
Total costs for all events				15000

7. Detailed other costs of the work for year 1

7.1 Are you using a consultant?	□ Yes	▼ No
7.2 What are the total costs for the consultant?		
7.3 Who is paying for the consultant?	IMPEL	
7.4. What will the consultant do?		
7.5 Are there any additional costs?	☐ Yes Namely:	№ No
7.6 What are the additional costs for?	/	
7.7 Who is paying for the additional costs?	/	



7.8. Are you seeking other funding sources?	☐ Yes Namely:	▼ No
7.9 Do you need budget for communications around the project? If so, describe what type of activities and the related costs	☐ Yes Namely:	▼ No

8. Communication and follow-up (checklist)

	r		
	What		By when
8.1 Indicate which communication materials will be developed throughout the project and when (all to be sent to the communications officer at the IMPEL secretariat)	TOR* Interim report* Project report* Progress report(s)* Press releases News items for the website** News items for the e-newsletter Project abstract** IMPEL at a Glance * Other, (give details):		November 2015 Mid-October 2016 End November 2016 January 2017 January 2017
8.2 Milestones / Scheduled meetings (for the website diary)	Project team meeting 1 end of Se Project team meeting 2 mid-Nove	•	er
8.3 Images for the IMPEL image bank	□ Yes		
8.4 Indicate which materials will be translated and into which languages	/		
8.5 Indicate if web-based tools will be developed and if hosting by IMPEL is required	/		
8.6 Identify which groups/institutions will be targeted and how	The Authorities of Member States permitting	involv	ved with IED inspection and
8.7 Identify parallel	Impel Industry Expert Team		



developments / events by
other organisations, where
the project can be promoted

9.	Remarks Is there anything else you would like to add to the Terms of Reference that has not been covered above?

In case of doubts or questions please contact the IMPEL Secretariat.

Draft and final versions need to be sent to the IMPEL Secretariat in word format, not in PDF.

Thank you.

⁾ Templates are available and should be used. *) Obligatory